

Mason and Slidell
By REV. T. B. GREGORY.

IT WAS exactly fifty-one years ago—January 2, 1862—that Mason and Sidellet, the Confederate commissioners just released by the federal authorities, sailed for Europe.

The "Trent affair," one of the most critical in which the United States government was involved during the civil war, will always stand associated with the queerest and smartest piece of diplomatic juggling known in history.

On the eighth day of November, 1861, John Sidellet and James M. Mason, diplomatic agents of the Confederate States to England and France, were on board the British merchant ship Trent on their way from Havana to Liverpool, when they were held up by Captain Wilkes of the United States war vessel San Jacinto, forcibly taken from the Trent, returned to the United States and delivered up to the government authorities at Boston by whom they were imprisoned in Fort Warren, in Boston harbor.

At once the British government "apologized," seriously questioning the "apology" to its flag and demanding an immediate and thorough-going "apology," with the release of the imprisoned commissioners.

Secretary Seward realized that he was in a bad fix. He knew perfectly well that the people of the United States were in no frame of mind for an apology to England, and yet he felt, and could not help feeling, that such an apology was close at hand; an apology was not only due but inevitable.

Wilkes had blundered, and blundered badly, in taking the commissioners from the Trent, and some sort of acknowledgment of the fact had to be made.

Not only so, but a war with England at that time was a thing not to be thought of. The hope of the north and south alike was to keep the peace. If the southern ports could be kept sealed up, thus preventing exports and imports, the southern cause must triumph. And if the British navy, with the British navy to contend with, how would it be possible to maintain the blockade?

And given Seward had to think of—and govern himself accordingly. How well he performed the most difficult task all the world knows. It was a brilliant, the cleverest piece of diplomacy that the world has ever seen. With the greatest statesman and most skillful diplomat of the age, he accomplished the seemingly impossible task of apologizing without apologizing, and surrendering without surrendering.

With the tact that would have put Metetrich, Talleyrand, or even Pat Garrett here, as to the South Seward played his game of "thimble-dig" so perfectly that he was able to satisfy both sides of the controversy, and to flag an inch, or in any way compromising its dignity or honor.

Lord Lyons was satisfied, the British government was satisfied, the people of the north were pleased, and pleased also were even the southern people, for their commissioners, graciously released, were soon on their way to perform their duties as the Confederacy's agents in Europe.

to him, wins the love and hand of the nurse, the petulant wife returns

When she isn't working, Rudolf Christians and Mathilde Brandt are continental stars—or would be, if they were recognized as such a thing; the point being simply that, where stationary stock companies prevail, the actor of exceptional talent is bound to find some tropic now and again, and plays with a company in another city "as guest." The Baroness Mathilde Brandt and her husband, Eugene and Miss Brandt are making such visits to New York now, and guest remaining long enough to augment the company for a single night. The troupe making its debut in the title role of the drama known to us as "Magda," and remaining, for "Christiania," a space of a week, is Mathilde Brandt, who is semi-effaced herself for Miss Brandt's introduction in "My Friend Teddy." The last named plays are both new to this country.

If Rudolf Herzog, who has visited America as a dramatic artist, has written "Condottieri" before the death of Richard Mansfield there would have been less reason to feel confident in his estimate of the play in English. That actor was ever in search of an historical character that might be utilized for the display of his histrionic powers in a play of the so-called neo-classic tragedy. This study of the last days of Bartolomeo Colone, the fifteenth century general of the republic of Venice against the Duke of Burgundy, is interesting only as an exceptional "show" opportunity for the actor, and the play itself is a precedent of the history of the period. Of consecutive drama there is almost none. The first act reached its climactic point in the death of the young Colone and Madonna Isabella. The second concerns itself with his determination to appear before the council in the armor of a warrior, his own terms upon which he will continue to lead the republic's land attacks on the Duke of Burgundy. The third act is the death of Colone, however, comes in the third act. The warrior is at the point of death. His face is pallid, his body seems to be in a state of collapse. He is arrayed in armor and state trappings. He is carried before the council in a large chair, attended by his son. But the Duke of Burgundy, his enemies, and the very pinnacle he sinks back dead. In the last act the lifeless body of the general lies in the arms of his wife. The play is a window illuminating his colorless face. To this silent form Madonna Beatrice, who has come upon it suddenly, is the only point of interest. Her youth was dead, pours out her love. That scene is all the Baroness Strantz-Puehne has to do. She is a woman of the world, a cultivated, skilful and authoritative embodiment of the warrior, which part he "created" in the drama and very largely justified its importation.

FROM that to "My Friend Teddy" is a far cry. From a near-Schiller tragedy to a modern French farce. Mr. Christians is versatile, to say the least. In spite of its title and the fact that the play is a comedy, it is not an American broad. Andre Rivrore and Lucien Bernard's frolic does not contain a single bold mood, or a Big Stick, or a touch of the American ordinary, genial, good-natured American, or, perhaps, I should say, extraordinary, for it is unusual to find one of these qualities in a French play without absurd exaggeration, frequently indeed in caricature obnoxious to the point of malice. Let us see how "My Friend Teddy" is enjoying great success in Paris and throughout Germany. And that leads me to my remarks for telling you that the play, to the extent of saying it concerns the interference of the American between Eugene and his wife, is a personal and his personal treatment of his wife and husband's affair with the widow of an ex-president of France being the subject of the play. The play is in English until the farce reaches us in English, which is sure to be soon—though we will miss Mr. Christian's amusing and original way of speaking French and to speak German with an American accent. Mathilde Brandt, the third guest, plays the maltrated wife with such seductive charm and allucacy of method.

Tribune Want Ads Pull